

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/492,462		01/27/2000	Toru Yamada	016891/0807	9897	
22428	7590	09/25/2003				
FOLEY A	ND LARI	DNER	EXAMINER			
SUITE 500 3000 K STR		20005	ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I			
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2674	18	
				DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/492,462	YAMADA, TORU					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Abbas I Abdulselam	2674					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS , cause the application to become ABAND	to e timely filed I days will be considered timely, from the mailing date of this communication, ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26.	<u>June 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under							
Disposition of Claims	onnlication						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1,6-8 and 12-15 is/are pending in the application.						
5) Claim(s) is/are withthat	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-8 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers	,						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	pted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	* *						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office		-					

Application/Control Number: 09/492,462 Page 2

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6-8 and 12-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 8 and 12-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kori et al. (USPN 5914754).

Regarding claims 1, 8 & 15, kori teaches a video-signal aspect ratio conversion apparatus that allows a wide screen picture to be transmitted and displayed by a 4:3 television without deforming the shape of the original picture. Kori also discloses that a 16:9 video picture is to be displayed on a 4:3 television screen with an area in the 16:9 picture being enlarged. See col. 9, lines 43-46. Kori shows in Fig. 16 (a, b, c), an original picture (16:9), transmitted picture (NTSC) and a 4:3 TV respectively. However, Kori does not specifically teach a second picture data consisting of a first black area, a second black area and an area consisting of reduces number of lines, the reduced number of lines being half a number of the first picture. On the other hand, Kori discloses a microcomputer (5) setting the aspect conversion ration in a needed size as indicated on col. 4, lines 53-65 and Fig. 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/492,462

Art Unit: 2674

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the microprocessor (5) the use of which includes determining aspect ratios of the input signals in order that that a proper signal is outputted. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion that the microprocessor can be used to obtain the desired picture data with reduced number of lines.

In addition, it would have been obvious to utilize the microprocessor to set the picture data such that the number of lines is cut by half. Consequently, this particular setting would have made the picture data on 4:3 TV of Fig. 16c enlarge 3/2 times relative to the data in the setting.

Regarding claims 8 and 15, Kori teaches enlargement in both horizontal and vertical directions on a 4:3 TV display that would result in offsetting of the picture data expressed by a pair of (X, Y) coordinates. See col. 8, lines 47-59 and Fig. 10. It would have been obvious to utilize the offsetting technique used in Fig. 10 to obtain the desired enlarged frame.

Regarding claim 12, see Kori's Fig. 16b and 16c.

Regarding claims 13-14, Kori discloses specifying the position of a screen and enlargement in the horizontal and vertical directions expressed by a pair of coordinates, (X, Y). See Fig. 10.

3. Claims 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kori et al. (USPN 5914754) in view of Tonomura et al. (USPN 5323235).

Regarding claims 6-7, Kori has been discussed above. However, Kori does not disclose the picture data compressed by MPEG format and interlace scanning format. Tonomura on the other hand teaches aspect ratio converting portion (36) including compression controller (43) which determines compression ratio See col. 15, lines 27-29 and Fig. 6. Further, Tonomura

Application/Control Number: 09/492,462

Art Unit: 2674

discloses scanning period of a given picture with respect to compression process. See the abstract.

It would have been obvious to modify Kori's method of aspect ratio conversion to adapt Tonomura's compression controller. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion in Tonomura that the compression controller (43) as utilized in Fig. 6 provides the desired data compression. The use of compression controller helps function aspect ratio conversion apparatus as taught by Tonomura.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/492,462

Art Unit: 2674

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Abbas Abdulselam** whose telephone number is (703) 305-8591. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 2600 customer Service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Abbas Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2674

September 11, 2003

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600